1	JOSEPH H. HUNT				
1	Assistant Attorney General				
2	DAVID L. ANDERSON				
	United States Attorney				
3	ANTHONY J. COPPOLINO				
4	Deputy Branch Director				
7	JULIA A. HEIMAN				
5	Senior Counsel				
_	CHRISTOPHER HEALY				
6	Trial Attorney				
7	United States Department of Justice				
′	Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch				
8	_				
	P.O. Box 883				
9	Washington, D.C. 20044				
10	Telephone: (202) 616-8480				
	Facsimile: (202) 616-8470				
11	Email: julia.heiman@usdoj.gov				
12					
12	Attorneys for Defendants				
13	IN THE UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT			
14					
14	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
15					
16	TWITTED INC) Case No. 14-cv-4480-YGR			
16	TWITTER, INC.,	Case No. 14-cv-4480- i GR			
17	Plaintiff,	PARTIALLY UNOPPOSED			
	riamum,	ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION			
18	v.	FOR ONE-DAY EXTENSION			
19	v.	OF TIME AND FOR AN			
	JEFFERSON B. SESSIONS, III, United States	ENLARGEMENT OF THE			
20	Attorney General, et al.,	PAGE LIMITATION FOR			
	Automey General, et ut.,	DEFENDANTS' REPLY			
21	Defendants.	DEFENDANTS REILI			
22	Defendants.	No hearing scheduled			
		Two hearing seneduled			
23					
24	Pursuant to Local Rules 6-3 and 7-11 Defend	lants respectfully request that the Court			
	Pursuant to Local Rules 6-3 and 7-11, Defendants respectfully request that the Court				
25	grant Defendants a brief extension of one day, until May 22, 2019, to submit their reply in				
26	support of their Request that the Court Discharge the Order to Show Cause and Deny Plaintiff'				
27	Request for Access to the Classified Steinbach Declaration, or in the Alternative, Motion to				
28					
_0					

1

Twitter, Inc. v. Sessions, et al., Case No. 14-cv-4480-YGR Partially Unopposed Administrative Motion for One-Day Extension of Time and for an Enlargement of the Page Limitation for Defendants' Reply Dismiss, ECF No. 281 ("Defendants' Motion"). Plaintiff does not oppose the requested one-day extension.

Additionally, Defendants respectfully request that the Court enlarge the page limitation for their reply by 5 pages. Plaintiff takes no position as to the requested enlargement.

In support of their requests, Defendants aver:

- 1. The reply in support of Defendants' motion is currently due to be submitted by May 21, 2019. *See* ECF No. 288 at 2.
- 2. Undersigned counsel was working to prepare Defendants' reply on May 19, 2019, when technical systems issues arose that made completion of work on May 19, 2019 impossible, notwithstanding counsel's numerous attempts to continue. In particular, difficulties began to arise in saving counsel's work, and, ultimately, it became impossible to open at all Microsoft Word, the word-processing program that counsel had been using.
- 3. In light of the technical issues that impeded work on May 19, 2019, as well as the necessity for undersigned counsel to work offsite for much of May 20, 2019 to complete a significant submission in another case in which undersigned counsel is the lead attorney, Defendants seek an extension of one day, until May 22, 2019, to complete the preparation of their reply.
 - 4. Plaintiff's counsel indicated that Plaintiff does not oppose this brief extension.
- 5. Separately, Defendants also respectfully request that the page limitation for their reply be expanded from 15 pages, *see* Local Rule 7-3(c), to 20 pages.
- 6. While the 15-page reply provided for by Local Rule 7-3(c) contemplates that such a reply will respond to a 25-page opposition, *see* Local Rule 7-3(a), Defendants' reply will need to respond not only to issues raised in Plaintiff's 21-page Opposition to Defendants' Motion, *see* ECF No. 292, but to those in the 16-page Brief of *Amici Curiae*, ECF No. 294-1.
- 7. Especially given the importance of the issues currently before the Court,

 Defendants seek this enlargement of the page limitation to permit them adequate space to address the numerous arguments raised by Plaintiff and *Amici*.

Case 4:14-cv-04480-YGR Document 296 Filed 05/20/19 Page 3 of 3

1	For the foregoing reasons, Defendants respectfully request that the Court grant this			
2	administrative motion.			
3	Dated: May 20, 2019	Respectfully submitted,		
4				
5		JOSEPH H. HUNT		
6		Assistant Attorney General		
7		DAVID L. ANDERSON		
8		United States Attorney		
		ANTHONY J. COPPOLINO		
9		Deputy Branch Director		
10		/s/ Julia A. Heiman		
11		JULIA A. HEIMAN, Bar No. 241415		
12		Senior Counsel		
13		CHRISTOPHER HEALY Trial Attorney		
		U.S. Department of Justice		
14		Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch		
15		P.O. Box 883 Washington, D.C. 20044		
16		julia.heiman@usdoj.gov		
17		Attorneys for Defendants		
18		Thiorneys for Defendants		
19				
20		ry under the laws of the United States of America that the		
21	foregoing is true and correct.			
22	Dated: May 20, 2019	/s/ Julia A. Heiman		
23		JULIA A. HEIMAN, Bar No. 241415 Senior Counsel		
	Semor Counser			
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	[]			

Twitter, Inc. v. Sessions, et al., Case No. 14-cv-4480-YGR Partially Unopposed Administrative Motion for One-Day Extension of Time and for an Enlargement of the Page Limitation for Defendants' Reply

1	JOSEPH H. HUNT					
	Assistant Attorney General					
2	DAVID L. ANDERSON United States Attorney					
3	ANTHONY J. COPPOLINO					
4	Deputy Branch Director					
7	JULIA A. HEIMAN					
5	Senior Counsel					
6	CHRISTOPHER HEALY Trial Attorney					
	United States Department of Justice					
7	Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch					
8						
9	P.O. Box 883					
	Washington, D.C. 20044 Telephone: (202) 616-8480					
10	Facsimile: (202) 616-8470					
11	Email: julia.heiman@usdoj.gov					
12	Attornave for Defendants					
13	Attorneys for Defendants IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
14						
	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
15						
16	TWITTER, INC.,) Case No. 14-cv-4480-YGR				
17	Plaintiff,)				
18	Traintiff,)				
	v.)				
19	REFERENCE DE CEGGIONG HILL 'S 100 s)				
20	JEFFERSON B. SESSIONS, III, United States Attorney General, <i>et al.</i> ,)				
21	Thomey General, et al.,)				
	Defendants.) [PROPOSED] ORDER				
22		_)				
23						
24						
25	The Court, having considered the Defendants' Partially Unopposed Administrative					
26	Motion for a One-Day Extension of Time and for an Enlargement of the Page Limitation for					
27	Defendants' Reply, hereby ORDERS that the Defendants' Motion is GRANTED . Defendants					
	shall submit their reply in support of Defendants' Request that the Court Discharge the Order					
28		1 man and a second seco				

1

Twitter, Inc. v. Sessions, et al., Case No. 14-cv-4480-YGR [Proposed] Order

Case 4:14-cv-04480-YGR Document 296-1 Filed 05/20/19 Page 2 of 2

1	to Show Cause and Deny Plaintiff's Request for Access to the Classified Steinbach				
2	Declaration, or in the Alternative, Motion to Dismiss, ECF No. 281, by May 22, 2019, and the				
3	page limitation for Defendants' reply is hereby extended to 20 pages.				
4					
5	IT IS SO ORDERED , this	day of	, 2019.		
6					
7					
8	Dated:				
9		HON. YVONNE	GONZALEZ ROGERS		
10		UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE			
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					